

Testosterone Treatment in Adult Men With Age-Related Low Testosterone: A Clinical Guideline From the American College of Physicians

Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA; Carrie A. Horwitch, MD, MPH; Sandeep Vijan, MD, MS; Itziar Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, PhD; and Devan Kansagara, MD, MCR; for the Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians*

Description: The American College of Physicians (ACP) developed this guideline to provide clinical recommendations based on the current evidence of the benefits and harms of testosterone treatment in adult men with age-related low testosterone. This guideline is endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians.

Methods: The ACP Clinical Guidelines Committee based these recommendations on a systematic review on the efficacy and safety of testosterone treatment in adult men with age-related low testosterone. Clinical outcomes were evaluated by using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) system and included sexual function, physical function, quality of life, energy and vitality, depression, cognition, serious adverse events, major adverse cardiovascular events, and other adverse events.

Target Audience and Patient Population: The target audience includes all clinicians, and the target patient population includes adult men with age-related low testosterone.

Recommendation 1a: ACP suggests that clinicians discuss whether to initiate testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone with sexual dysfunction who want to improve sexual function (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

ence). The discussion should include the potential benefits, harms, costs, and patient's preferences.

Recommendation 1b: ACP suggests that clinicians should re-evaluate symptoms within 12 months and periodically thereafter. Clinicians should discontinue testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone with sexual dysfunction in whom there is no improvement in sexual function (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

Recommendation 1c: ACP suggests that clinicians consider intramuscular rather than transdermal formulations when initiating testosterone treatment to improve sexual function in men with age-related low testosterone, as costs are considerably lower for the intramuscular formulation and clinical effectiveness and harms are similar.

Recommendation 2: ACP suggests that clinicians not initiate testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone to improve energy, vitality, physical function, or cognition (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

Ann Intern Med. 2020;172:126-133. doi:10.7326/M19-0882 **Annals.org**
For author affiliations, see end of text.
This article was published at Annals.org on 7 January 2020.

A gradual, age-associated decline in serum total testosterone levels begins in men in their mid-30s and continues at an average rate of 1.6% per year (1-5). This condition is referred to as age-related low testosterone and is accompanied by clinical symptoms associated with androgen deficiency. No well-defined, universally

accepted threshold of testosterone levels exists below which symptoms of androgen deficiency and adverse health outcomes occur (6-8). The incidence of low testosterone in the United States is reported to be approximately 20% in men older than 60, 30% in those older than 70, and 50% in those older than 80 years (4), although the prevalence of syndromic low testosterone (defined as at least 3 sexual symptoms with a total testosterone level <11.1 nmol/L [320 ng/dL]) is lower (9). Uncertainty exists as to whether nonspecific signs and symptoms associated with age-related low testosterone, such as sexual dysfunction, decreases in energy and muscle mass, mood disturbances, changes in bone mineral density, cardiovascular disease, depression, decreased libido, erectile dysfunction, decreased volume of ejaculate, loss of body and facial

See also:

Related article 105
 Editorial comment 151
 Summary for Patients I-20

Web-Only
 Supplement

* This paper, authored by Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA; Carrie A. Horwitch, MD, MPH; Sandeep Vijan, MD, MS; Itziar Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, PhD; and Devan Kansagara, MD, MCR, was developed for the Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Individuals who served on the Clinical Guidelines Committee from initiation of the project until its approval were Timothy J. Wilt, MD, MPH† (Chair); Devan Kansagara, MD, MCR‡ (Vice Chair); Mary Ann Forciea, MD‡ (Immediate Past Chair); Carolyn Crandall, MD, MS‡; Nick Fitterman, MD‡; Lauri A. Hicks, DO‡; Carrie A. Horwitch, MD, MPH‡; Jennifer S. Lin, MD, MCR‡; Michael Maroto, JD, MBA‡§; Robert M. McLean, MD‡; Reem A. Mustafa, MD, PhD, MPH‡; Jairo Roa, MD, MScEd; Janice Tufte‡§; and Sandeep Vijan, MD, MS‡.
 † Nonauthor contributor (participated in discussion but excluded from voting).
 ‡ Author (participated in discussion and voting).
 § Nonphysician public representative.

hair, weakness, and mortality, are a consequence of age-related low testosterone or whether they are a result of other factors, such as chronic illnesses or concomitant medications (7, 10, 11).

The role of testosterone treatment in managing age-related low testosterone is controversial. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) requires the pharmaceutical industry to label all testosterone medications to clearly state that their products are approved for use only in persons with low testosterone levels due to known causes (11).

GUIDELINE FOCUS AND TARGET POPULATION

The purpose of this American College of Physicians (ACP) guideline is to present recommendations based on the best available evidence on the benefits, harms, and costs of testosterone treatment in adult men with age-related low testosterone. This guideline does not address screening or diagnosis of hypogonadism or monitoring of testosterone levels.

The target audience for this guideline includes all clinicians, and the target patient population includes adult men with age-related low testosterone. These recommendations are based on a systematic evidence review conducted by the Minnesota Evidence-based Practice Center and funded by ACP (12). This guideline is endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians.

METHODS

Systematic Review of the Evidence

Additional details and methods for the supporting evidence review are included in the accompanying systematic evidence review paper (12) and in the **Appendix** (available at [Annals.org](https://annals.org)). Reviewers searched several databases for studies and systematic reviews published in English from 1980 through May 2019. Reviewers assessed risk of bias by using standardized methods. A technical expert panel was convened to inform the evidence review and assist in refining the scope and key questions. In addition, ACP conducted a rapid review separate from the supporting evidence review to identify existing literature on patient values and preferences. The reviewer searched MEDLINE and PsycINFO from inception to November 2018, using a combination of keywords and MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) terms related to testosterone treatment and patient values and preferences (**Supplement 1**, available at [Annals.org](https://annals.org)).

Main Outcomes

Clinical Guidelines Committee (CGC) members (clinicians and nonclinician public members) and CGC Public Panel members were asked a priori to independently rate the importance of evaluated outcomes. Quality of life; erectile function; cognitive function; and harms, including serious adverse events, major adverse cardiovascular events, deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, mortality, and prostate cancer, were rated as critical outcomes. Energy and vitality, physical

function, mood (depression), fracture reduction, libido, and lower urinary tract symptoms were rated as important outcomes. All critical and important outcomes were considered in developing the recommendations. Sexual function included self-reported overall sexual function and erectile function and was measured by the Aging Males' Symptoms (AMS) and International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) scales. The IIEF domains include erectile function, orgasmic function, sexual desire, intercourse satisfaction, and overall satisfaction; the AMS scale assesses disturbances in potency, decreased morning erections, decreased libido and sexual activity, decreased beard growth, and the "impression of having passed the zenith of life." Data reported in standardized mean differences (SMDs) were interpreted as small (SMD, 0.2), medium (SMD, 0.5), and large (SMD, 0.8) effects (12).

Evidence to Recommendations

This guideline was developed by ACP's CGC according to ACP's guideline development process, details of which may be found in ACP's methods paper (13). The CGC used the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) tables in the accompanying systematic review (12) when reporting the evidence and graded the recommendations using GRADE methodology (14, 15) (**Figure 1**). The accompanying GRADE Evidence to Decision tables illustrate the Evidence to Decision framework supporting the recommendations (**Supplement 2**, available at [Annals.org](https://annals.org)).

Peer Review

The guideline underwent a peer review process through the journal and was posted online for comments from ACP Regents and ACP Governors, who represent internal medicine and its subspecialty physician members at the national and international levels.

CGC Public Panel Review

The development of this guideline also included perspectives, values, and preferences of 2 CGC members who represent the public and a 7-member CGC Public Panel, who rated outcomes and provided comments on the guideline.

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

The evidence review identified 38 randomized controlled trials that met the inclusion criteria to evaluate the benefits and harms of testosterone treatment. The mean age across all studies was 66 years, and follow-up ranged from 6 to 36 months. Participants in most studies had a mean baseline total testosterone level of 10.4 nmol/L (300 ng/dL) or lower (20 studies), although several studies included men with higher baseline testosterone levels. Outcomes did not vary substantially in studies that had different baseline testosterone levels or evaluated different testosterone formulations.

Quality of Life

Low-certainty evidence from 7 trials showed a small improvement in quality of life as measured by the AMS scale (SMD, 0.33 lower [95% CI, 0.50 to 0.16 lower]);

however, this change may have been driven by improvement in sexual function, which is an AMS subscale.

Sexual Function

Moderate-certainty evidence from 7 trials showed a small improvement in global sexual function as measured by the IIEF or AMS scale (SMD, 0.35 higher [CI, 0.23 to 0.46 higher]).

Low-certainty evidence from 7 trials showed a small improvement in erectile function (SMD, 0.27 higher [CI, 0.09 to 0.44 higher]).

Physical Function

Low-certainty evidence from 7 trials showed little to no difference in physical function as assessed by objective measures, such as walk tests (SMD, 0.14 higher [CI, 0.02 to 0.27 higher]). Low-certainty evidence from 5 trials showed little to no difference in physical function from self-reported measures, such as the Short Form-36 Health Survey (SMD, 0.15 higher [CI, 0.19 lower to 0.50 higher]).

Adverse Cardiovascular Events

Low-certainty evidence from 14 trials showed a small increase to no difference in adverse cardiovascular events (Peto odds ratio, 1.22 [CI, 0.66 to 2.23]).

Serious Adverse Events

Moderate-certainty evidence from 8 trials found no evidence of increased risk for serious adverse events (Peto odds ratio, 0.94 [CI, 0.73 to 1.21]) or withdrawals due to adverse events with testosterone treatment (Peto odds ratio, 0.92 [CI, 0.65 to 1.28]).

Mortality

Although trials were not powered for mortality differences and many excluded men with a higher risk for

death, including those with recent cardiovascular disease, pooled analysis of 12 studies showed fewer deaths among patients treated with testosterone than those who received placebo (incidence, 0.4% [CI, 0.07% to 0.99%] vs. 1.5% [CI, 0.48% to 2.89%]; Peto odds ratio, 0.47 [CI, 0.25 to 0.89]). The evidence review graded this evidence as low certainty. However, because of very serious imprecision, low event rates, and potential fragility of the results, the CGC felt that it had insufficient evidence to make conclusions about mortality.

Other Outcomes

Testosterone treatment improved vitality and fatigue by a less-than-small amount (3 trials) (SMD, 0.17 higher [CI, 0.01 to 0.32 higher]). Five trials assessed depressive symptoms by using various scales and showed a less-than-small improvement (SMD, 0.19 lower [CI, 0.32 to 0.05 lower]), although most men in the studies did not have baseline depression. No differences were found in cognitive function in studies that assessed it by using various scales. Few studies reported fractures, and they occurred too rarely to draw any conclusions about the effect of testosterone treatment.

Evidence from 20 observational studies with a mean follow-up ranging from 0.73 to 10.3 years showed no increased risk for mortality, cardiovascular events, prostate cancer, or pulmonary embolism or deep venous thrombosis. Evidence for long-term safety is lacking. Most studies excluded men with recent cardiovascular disease. No consistent differences were observed in harms according to transdermal versus intra-

Figure 1. Grading the certainty of evidence and strength of recommendations of ACP clinical guidelines by using GRADE.

Grading Certainty of Evidence			
High	Confident that the true effect is close to the estimated effect.		
Moderate	Moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely close to the estimated effect, but there is a sizeable possibility that it is substantially different.		
Low	Confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimated effect.		
Grading Recommendations			
Strength	Balance of Benefits and Harms	Applicable Patient Population	Policy Implication
Strong	Confidence that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burden or vice versa.	Applies to most patients in most circumstances.	Only strong recommendations could be considered as quality indicators to guide the development of accountability, reporting, and payment programs.
Conditional	Benefits probably outweigh risks and burden, or vice versa, but there is appreciable uncertainty.	Applies to many patients but may differ depending on circumstances or patients' values and preferences.	Policy making will require substantial debates and involvement of many stakeholders. Policies are also more likely to vary between regions. Quality indicators would have to focus on the fact that adequate deliberation about the management options has taken place.

ACP = American College of Physicians; GRADE = Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.

muscular formulations in the included observational studies that addressed the comparison.

Values and Preferences

An additional, rapid review independent of the systematic evidence review identified 6 studies that assessed patient preferences (Supplement 1). Two studies assessed patient preferences among treatment options (16, 17). Fifty-three percent of patients receiving testosterone treatment ($n = 382$) chose injectable testosterone over gel-based pellet regimens, mostly because of lower cost (16). A second study ($n = 54$) analyzed preferences of a subpopulation of patients after they used a testosterone replacement therapy (TRT) product for more than 1 month and found that most (71%) preferred a topical gel over an injection or patch for reasons attributed to convenience, ease of use, and nonstaining of clothes, among others (17).

Regarding the relative importance of outcomes, 2 studies reported similar findings (18, 19). Among 312 men with hypogonadism, the most bothersome symptoms were lack of energy (90% of patients who elected to receive TRT vs. 81% who refused TRT; $P = 0.075$), decreased strength and endurance (86% vs. 76%; $P = 0.077$), and deterioration in work performance (52% vs. 31%; $P = 0.004$) (18). In a survey of 95 patients with hypogonadism who had not received TRT, the most bothersome symptoms were erectile dysfunction (66.3%), followed by decreased sex drive (55.8%) and loss of energy or increased tiredness (47.4%) (19).

Three studies evaluated men's willingness to undergo treatment. In a study of 110 patients attending a urologic consultation (half of whom had a clinical diagnosis of hypogonadism), 43% of the respondents reported an interest in testosterone treatment, regardless of their urologic diagnosis, although half were unsure of the risks of testosterone treatment (20). Another study showed that erectile dysfunction was the most frequently reported reason (66%) for seeking treatment, followed by loss of energy or increased tiredness (59.0%) and decreased sex drive (57.9%) (19). However, TRT discontinuation rates between 30% and 62% have been reported (19, 21).

Costs

The annual cost in 2016 per beneficiary for TRT was \$2135.32 for the transdermal and \$156.24 for the intramuscular formulation, according to paid pharmaceutical claims provided in the 2016 Medicare Part D Drug Claims data.

INCONCLUSIVE AREAS OF EVIDENCE

Because studies have had limited follow-up, evidence on long-term benefits or harms of testosterone treatment is lacking. Evidence about mortality also is inconclusive because of very serious imprecision, low event rates, and potential fragility of the results.

MULTIPLE CHRONIC CONDITIONS: CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Results from trials that included only obese men or men with diabetes or metabolic syndrome were similar to those of the overall pooled analysis reported earlier.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Figure 2 summarizes the recommendations and clinical considerations.

Recommendation 1a: ACP suggests that clinicians discuss whether to initiate testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone with sexual dysfunction who want to improve sexual function (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence). The discussion should include the potential benefits, harms, costs, and patient's preferences.

Recommendation 1b: ACP suggests that clinicians should reevaluate symptoms within 12 months and periodically thereafter. Clinicians should discontinue testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone with sexual dysfunction in whom there is no improvement in sexual function (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

Recommendation 1c: ACP suggests that clinicians consider intramuscular rather than transdermal formulations when initiating testosterone treatment to improve sexual function in men with age-related low testosterone, as costs are considerably lower for the intramuscular formulation and clinical effectiveness and harms are similar.

Evidence shows that men with age-related low testosterone (testosterone threshold, ≤ 10.4 nmol/L [300 ng/dL]) (22, 23) may show small improvements in sexual functioning, as measured by the AMS scale, IIEF erectile dysfunction domain, or IIEF-5 (simplified 5-item IIEF) scale. However, evidence shows little to no improvement in physical function, depressive symptoms, energy and vitality, or cognition. Evidence for quality of life was limited to results from the AMS scale, and the small increase was probably driven by improvements in sexual function. Evidence for harms was difficult to judge because of the low power of studies or limited information available; thus, conclusions about the impact of testosterone therapy on cardiovascular health, prostate cancer, or other harms are difficult to make. The FDA requires labeling of testosterone products to include a warning about a possible increased risk for heart attacks and strokes. Evidence shows variability associated with patient values and preferences; thus, it does not support the use of testosterone treatment in all patients with age-related low testosterone without informed decision making.

Most studies included in the evidence review followed patients for 12 months or less, so the longer-term benefits and harms of testosterone treatment are unknown. Without an improvement in symptoms, the treatment would incur additional cost with no clear benefit. Patients' symptoms should be reevaluated to determine whether treatment has been effective; if no

Figure 2. Summary of the ACP guideline on testosterone treatment in adult men with age-related low testosterone.

ACP
American College of Physicians
Leading Internal Medicine, Improving Lives


Testosterone Treatment in Adult Men With Age-Related Low Testosterone

Background



There is a gradual decline in serum total testosterone levels in men associated with aging, referred to as age-related low testosterone. It is uncertain whether nonspecific signs and symptoms that are associated with age-related low testosterone, such as sexual dysfunction, decreases in energy and muscle mass, mood disturbances, and weakness, are a consequence of low testosterone levels or whether they are a result of other factors, such as chronic illnesses or concomitant medications.

Patient Population
Adult men with age-related low testosterone

Interventions Compared
Testosterone treatment (transdermal or intramuscular) vs. no treatment



Outcomes Evaluated



Continued on the following page

Figure 2–Continued.

Values and Preferences

Values and preferences vary according to individual patients. One study showed that more men preferred injectable testosterone compared with gel-based and pellet regimens due to the lower cost, whereas in another study, most men preferred a topical gel product over an injection or patch due to convenience, ease of use, and not staining clothes, among others.

Recommendations

RECOMMENDATION 1a: ACP suggests that clinicians discuss whether to initiate testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone with sexual dysfunction who want to improve sexual function (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence). The discussion should include the potential benefits, harms, costs, and patient's preferences.

RATIONALE: Current evidence shows that men with age-related low testosterone may show small improvements in sexual functioning with testosterone treatment, although there is little to no improvement on physical function, depressive symptoms, energy/vitality, or cognition. Evidence for harms was difficult to judge due to the low power of studies or limited available information.

RECOMMENDATION 1b: ACP suggests that clinicians should re-evaluate symptoms within 12 months and periodically thereafter. Clinicians should discontinue testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone with sexual dysfunction in whom there is no improvement in sexual function (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

RATIONALE: Most studies included in the evidence review followed patients for 12 or fewer months, so the longer term benefits and harms of testosterone treatment are unknown.

RECOMMENDATION 1c: ACP suggests that clinicians consider intramuscular rather than transdermal formulations when initiating testosterone treatment to improve sexual function in men with age-related low testosterone, as costs are considerably lower for the intramuscular formulation and clinical effectiveness and harms are similar.

RATIONALE: Direct evidence was limited; however, there were no substantial differences in clinical effectiveness, benefits, or harms between intramuscular and transdermal testosterone applications. Intramuscular testosterone is substantially cheaper than transdermal testosterone (\$156.32 vs. \$2135.32 per person per year) and thus is the preferred option for testosterone treatment.

RECOMMENDATION 2: ACP suggests that clinicians not initiate testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone to improve energy, vitality, physical function, or cognition (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

RATIONALE: Evidence showed very little or no benefits for common concerns of aging, including energy and vitality, physical function, and cognition, and evidence on long-term harms is lacking. Therefore, asymptomatic men with age-related low testosterone should not be prescribed testosterone treatment, except for treating sexual function issues.

Clinical Considerations

- The testosterone level at which to start treatment is not clear. There were no clear differences in sexual function outcomes based on baseline testosterone level, although mean levels were below 10.4 nmol/L (300 ng/dL) in most of the trials. Use caution in applying these recommendations to persons with comorbid conditions.
- Safety monitoring varied across trials. Given the very low incidence of some adverse events, such as prostate cancer, in the trials, it is difficult to provide evidence-based monitoring recommendations. However, given the theoretical potential for an elevation of prostate cancer risk and polycythemia, some regular monitoring is reasonable.

Talking Points With Patients

- Testosterone treatment may improve sexual function in some men, but the amount of improvement varies.
- Testosterone is used to treat sexual symptoms, so if these symptoms do not improve, treatment should be stopped.
- Testosterone treatment does not seem to improve other common concerns of aging.
- Both injectable and topical forms of testosterone can improve sexual function, but the injectable form costs more than 10 times less.



ACP = American College of Physicians. A downloadable version of this figure is available as a Supplement at [Annals.org](https://annals.org).

benefit in sexual function occurs within 12 months, treatment should be discontinued.

Both intramuscular and transdermal testosterone applications have been associated with improvements in sexual function. Evidence from indirect comparisons suggests no substantial differences in clinical effectiveness, benefits, or harms between intramuscular and transdermal testosterone applications, although very little evidence exists from direct comparisons of the 2 formulations (**Supplement 2**) (13). Patients preferred the intramuscular formulation over transdermal applications because of cost (16) (**Supplement 1**). Because the 2 formulations are similar in terms of benefits and harms but the intramuscular formulation is substantially cheaper (\$156.32 vs. \$2135.32 per person per year for the transdermal option), the intramuscular application is the preferred testosterone treatment.

Recommendation 2: ACP suggests that clinicians not initiate testosterone treatment in men with age-related low testosterone to improve energy, vitality, physical function, or cognition (conditional recommendation; low-certainty evidence).

Evidence showed very little or no benefits for common concerns of aging, including energy and vitality, physical function, and cognition. In addition, evidence on long-term harms is lacking. Although the evidence review found a decrease in mortality with testosterone treatment, studies were not powered to detect mortality differences, few events occurred, the evidence had serious imprecision, the results were potentially fragile, and studies excluded men at greatest risk for dying. Thus, the CGC feels that evidence is insufficient to draw definitive conclusions about the effect of testosterone treatment on mortality. Therefore, men with age-related low testosterone should not be prescribed testosterone treatment unless its purpose is to treat sexual function issues.

From American College of Physicians, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (A.Q., I.E.); Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, Washington (C.A.H.); Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Health Services Research and Development Center for Clinical Management Research, Ann Arbor, Michigan (S.V.); and Portland Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Portland, Oregon (D.K.).

Note: Clinical guidelines are “guides” only and may not apply to all patients and all clinical situations. Thus, they are not intended to override clinicians’ judgment. All ACP clinical guidelines are considered automatically withdrawn or invalid 5 years after publication, or once an update has been issued.

Acknowledgment: The CGC thanks the following members of the ACP Guidelines Public Panel for their review and comments on the paper from a patient’s perspective: Cynthia Apley, Larry Curley, Ray Haeme, Billy Oglesby, James Pantelas, Missy Carson Smith, and Lelis Vernon. They also thank Farah Sultan, MD, MS, for her contributions to the supplemental rapid review on values and preferences of men treated with testosterone.

Financial Support: Financial support for the development of this guideline comes exclusively from the ACP operating budget.

Disclosures: Dr. Horwitch is a fiduciary officer for the Washington State Medical Association. Dr. Lin is an employee of Kaiser Permanente. Dr. McLean is an employee of Northeast Medical Group. Dr. Mustafa has served as a site principal investigator for a randomized controlled trial funded by Boehringer Ingelheim and subcontracted through Duke University since 2019. Authors not named here have disclosed no conflicts of interest. Authors followed the policy regarding conflicts of interest described at www.annals.org/article.aspx?articleid=745942. Disclosures can also be viewed at www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M19-0882. All financial and intellectual disclosures of interest were declared and potential conflicts were discussed and managed. Dr. Wilt was recused from chairing and from authorship and voting because of a moderate-level conflict (author of supporting evidence review). A record of disclosures of interest and management of conflicts of interest is kept for each CGC meeting and conference call and can be viewed at www.acponline.org/clinical_information/guidelines/guidelines/conflicts_cgc.htm.

Correction: After publication of this article, Dr. Mustafa disclosed a high-level conflict of interest that was previously not reported by her (she has served as a site principal investigator for a randomized controlled trial funded by Boehringer Ingelheim and subcontracted through Duke University since 2019). The CGC considers any active relationship with drug companies a high-level conflict of interest, regardless of whether the interest is clinically relevant to the guideline topic. The CGC policy is to not include individuals with potential conflicts of interest as guideline authors. This article was corrected on 14 February 2023 to include updated disclosure forms for Dr. Mustafa. An erratum has been published (doi:10.7326/L23-0043).

Corresponding Author: Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA, American College of Physicians, 190 N. Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19106; e-mail, aqaseem@acponline.org.

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at Annals.org.

References

1. Tajar A, Forti G, O’Neill TW, et al; EMAS Group. Characteristics of secondary, primary, and compensated hypogonadism in aging men: evidence from the European Male Ageing Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;95:1810-8. [PMID: 20173018] doi:10.1210/jc.2009-1796
2. Feldman HA, Longcope C, Derby CA, et al. Age trends in the level of serum testosterone and other hormones in middle-aged men: longitudinal results from the Massachusetts male aging study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;87:589-98. [PMID: 11836290]
3. Nieschlag E, Swerdloff R, Behre HM, et al. Investigation, treatment and monitoring of late-onset hypogonadism in males: ISA, ISSAM, and EAU recommendations. *Int J Androl*. 2005;28:125-7. [PMID: 15910536]
4. Harman SM, Metter EJ, Tobin JD, et al; Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Longitudinal effects of aging on serum total and free testosterone levels in healthy men. Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2001;86:724-31. [PMID: 11158037]

5. Stanworth RD, Jones TH. Testosterone for the aging male; current evidence and recommended practice. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2008;3:25-44. [PMID: 18488876]
6. Maggi M, Schulman C, Quinton R, et al. The burden of testosterone deficiency syndrome in adult men: economic and quality-of-life impact. *J Sex Med*. 2007;4:1056-69. [PMID: 17627750]
7. Mulligan T, Frick MF, Zuraw QC, et al. Prevalence of hypogonadism in males aged at least 45 years: the HIM study. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2006;60:762-9. [PMID: 16846397]
8. Petak SM, Nankin HR, Spark RF, et al; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists Medical Guidelines for clinical practice for the evaluation and treatment of hypogonadism in adult male patients—2002 update. *Endocr Pract*. 2002 Nov-Dec;8:440-56. [PMID: 15260010]
9. Wu FC, Tajar A, Beynon JM, et al; EMAS Group. Identification of late-onset hypogonadism in middle-aged and elderly men. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363:123-35. [PMID: 20554979] doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0911101
10. Bassil N, Morley JE. Late-life onset hypogonadism: a review. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2010;26:197-222. [PMID: 20497841] doi:10.1016/j.cger.2010.02.00310
11. Nguyen CP, Hirsch MS, Moeny D, et al. Testosterone and “Age-related hypogonadism”—FDA concerns. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:689-91. [PMID: 26287846] doi:10.1056/NEJMp1506632
12. Diem SJ, Greer NL, MacDonald R, et al. Efficacy and safety of testosterone treatment in men: an evidence report for a clinical practice guideline by the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 7 January 2020 [Epub ahead of print]. doi:10.7326/M19-0830
13. Qaseem A, Kansagara D, Lin JS, et al; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. The development of clinical guidelines and guidance statements by the clinical guidelines committee of the American College of Physicians: update of methods. *Ann Intern Med*. 2019;170:863-870. [PMID: 31181568] doi:10.7326/M18-3290
14. GRADEpro GDT: GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool [software]. Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; McMaster University; 2015 (developed by Evidence Prime).
15. Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, Oxman A, eds. Handbook for Grading the Quality of Evidence and the Strength of Recommendations Using the GRADE Approach. Updated October 2013. Accessed at <https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html#:~:text=The%20GRADE%20handbook%20describes%20the,www.gradeworkinggroup.org> on 6 November 2019.
16. Kovac JR, Rajanahally S, Smith RP, et al. Patient satisfaction with testosterone replacement therapies: the reasons behind the choices. *J Sex Med*. 2014;11:553-62. [PMID: 24344902] doi:10.1111/jsm.12369
17. Szeinbach SL, Seoane-Vazquez E, Summers KH. Development of a men's preference for testosterone replacement therapy (P-TRT) instrument. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2012;6:631-41. [PMID: 22969294] doi:10.2147/PPA.S35840
18. Rosen RC, Seftel AD, Ruff DD, et al. A pilot study using a web survey to identify characteristics that influence hypogonadal men to initiate testosterone replacement therapy. *Am J Mens Health*. 2018;12:567-574. [PMID: 26819183] doi:10.1177/1557988315625773
19. Shortridge EF, Polzer P, Donga P, et al. Experiences and treatment patterns of hypogonadal men in a U.S. health system. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2014;68:1257-63. [PMID: 24673748] doi:10.1111/ijcp.12418
20. Gilbert K, Cimmino CB, Beebe LC, et al. Gaps in patient knowledge about risks and benefits of testosterone replacement therapy. *Urology*. 2017;103:27-33. [PMID: 28238756] doi:10.1016/j.urology.2016.12.066
21. Smith RP, Khanna A, Coward RM, et al. Factors influencing patient decisions to initiate and discontinue subcutaneous testosterone pellets (Testopel) for treatment of hypogonadism. *J Sex Med*. 2013;10:2326-33. [PMID: 23859250] doi:10.1111/jsm.12226
22. Bhasin S, Brito JP, Cunningham GR, et al. Testosterone therapy in men with hypogonadism: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;103:1715-1744. [PMID: 29562364] doi:10.1210/jc.2018-00229
23. Mulhall JP, Trost LW, Brannigan RE, et al. Evaluation and management of testosterone deficiency: AUA guideline. *J Urol*. 2018;200:423-432. [PMID: 29601923] doi:10.1016/j.juro.2018.03.115

ACP CHAPTER MEETINGS

For information on upcoming ACP chapter meetings, including scientific programs and registration forms, please visit www.acponline.org/meetings/chapter.

Current Author Addresses: Dr. Qaseem: 190 N. Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

Dr. Horwitch: 1100 Ninth Avenue C8-GIM, Seattle, WA 98101.

Dr. Vijan: 2800 Plymouth Road, Building 16, Room 344E, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.

Dr. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta: 1, Santa Margarita Hospital Street rear, ground floor 2, Office 1, Room 2, 20303 Irun, Gipuzkoa, Spain.

Dr. Kansagara: 3710 Southwest U.S. Veterans Hospital Road, Portland, OR 97239.

Author Contributions: Conception and design: A. Qaseem, I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, D. Kansagara, M.A. Forciea, R.A. Mustafa.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: A. Qaseem, S. Vijan, C.J. Crandall, I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, D. Kansagara, M.A. Forciea, L.A. Hicks, J. Tufte.

Drafting of the article: A. Qaseem, C.A. Horwitch, I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, D. Kansagara, M.A. Forciea.

Critical revision for important intellectual content: A. Qaseem, S. Vijan, I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, D. Kansagara, C.J. Crandall, M.A. Forciea, L.A. Hicks, J.S. Lin, R.A. Mustafa.

Final approval of the article: A. Qaseem, C.A. Horwitch, S. Vijan, I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, D. Kansagara, C.J. Crandall, N. Fitterman, M.A. Forciea, L.A. Hicks, J.S. Lin, M.F. Maroto, R.M. McLean, R.A. Mustafa, J. Tufte.

Statistical expertise: A. Qaseem.

Obtaining of funding: A. Qaseem.

Administrative, technical, or logistic support: A. Qaseem, I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta.

Collection and assembly of data: I. Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, D. Kansagara.

APPENDIX: DETAILED METHODS

The Minnesota Evidence-based Practice Center conducted the supporting evidence review. Details of the ACP guideline development process may be found in the ACP methods paper (13). Disclosure of interests and management of any conflicts may be found at www.acponline.org/clinical_information/guidelines/guidelines/conflicts_cgchtm.

Key Question Addressed

What are the benefits and harms of testosterone therapy for men? Are the benefits and harms affected by:

1. Baseline testosterone level
2. Baseline symptoms
3. Age
4. Comorbid conditions (such as obesity, opiate use, corticosteroid use, HIV)
5. Targeted or achieved testosterone level

Search Strategy

Reviewers searched several databases for studies and systematic reviews published in English from 1980 to May 2019.

Quality Assessment

Reviewers assessed risk of bias by using a modified Cochrane approach (24) for randomized controlled tri-

als and a modified Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality approach for observational studies (25).

Population Studied

Adult men.

Interventions Evaluated

Transdermal and intramuscular testosterone treatments approved by the FDA.

Comparator

No testosterone treatment, including placebo.

Outcomes

Both clinician and nonclinician public members were asked to independently rate the importance of evaluated outcomes. Physician members rated serious adverse events the highest, whereas public members rated cardiac adverse events the highest. Quality of life; erectile function; cognitive function; and harms, including serious adverse events, major adverse cardiovascular events, deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, mortality, and prostate cancer, were rated as critical outcomes. Energy and vitality, physical function, mood (depression), fracture reduction, libido, and lower urinary tract symptoms were rated as important outcomes.

Timing

Treatment for 6 months or longer.

Setting

Outpatient.

Target Audience

All clinicians.

Target Patient Population

Adult men.

Public and Patient Involvement

The development of this guideline also included perspectives, values, and preferences of 2 nonphysician CGC members who represent the public and a 7-member CGC Public Panel.

Peer Review

The supporting evidence review and guideline each were peer reviewed through the journal. The guideline was posted online for comments from ACP Regents and ACP Governors, who represent internal medicine and its subspecialty physician members at the national and international levels.

Web-Only References

24. Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). Cochrane, 2011. Accessed at <http://handbook.cochrane.org> on 6 November 2019.

25. Viswanathan M, Ansari MT, Berkman ND, et al. Assessing the risk of bias of individual studies in systematic reviews of health care interventions. In: Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2008.